

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/866,478	TABUCHI, KUNIHIRO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cheryl N. Hawkins	1734	

All Participants:

(1) Cheryl N. Hawkins.

(2) Robert K. Carpenter.

Status of Application: Ready for Issue

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 5 July 2005

Time: 10:30 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Cheryl N. Hawkins
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During preparation of the application for issue, references to specific claim numbers were discovered in the specification which needed to be either corrected or deleted. Therefore, Examiner Hawkins contacted Attorney Carpenter to discuss amending the specification to delete the references to the incorrect claim numbers on pages 2, 3, and 5 of the specification. Examiner Hawkins provided Attorney Carpenter with the option of performing the necessary amendments himself via an amendment submitted under 37 CFR 1.312 or granting Examiner Hawkins permission to make the necessary amendments via an examiner's amendment. Attorney Carpenter opted to have Examiner Hawkins execute the corrections to the specification via an examiner's amendment.